1	Kirk Pasich (SBN 94242)		
	KPasich@PasichLLP.com		
2	Kayla Robinson (SBN 322061)		
3	KRobinson@PasichLLP.com		
<b>4</b>	Owen Monkemeier (SBN 336476)		
5	OMonkemeier@PasichLLP.com		
	PASICH LLP		
6	10880 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2000		
7	Los Angeles, California 90024 Telephone: (424) 313-7860		
8	Facsimile: (424) 313-7890		
	1 4051111110. (12 1) 010 1000		
9	Attorneys for Defendant		
10			
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
13			
$oldsymbol{14}$	NEW YORK MARINE AND	Case No. 2:22-cv-4685-GW (PDx)	
15	GENERAL INSURANCE	Hon. George H. Wu, Courtroom 9D	
16	COMPANY, a New York corporation,	Hon. deorge H. Wa, courtion 3D	
17	corporation,	DEFENDANT AMBER HEARD'S	
	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO NEW YORK	
18		MARINE AND GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY'S	
19	V.	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
20	AMBER HEARD,	AND COUNTERCLAIM	
21	Defendant.		
	Defendant.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
22			
23		Complaint Filed July 8, 2022	
24		FAC Filed July 11, 2022	
25			
	Defendent Amber Heard bench a secretary the Einst American		
26	Defendant Amber Heard hereby answers the First Amended		
27	Complaint of plaintiff New York Marine and General Insurance		
28	Company as follows:		

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. Answering paragraph 1, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 2. Answering paragraph 2, Ms. Heard admits that New York Marine purports to seek a judicial determination as alleged in this paragraph.
- 3. Answering paragraph 3, Ms. Heard admits that New York Marine asserts that its claims are authorized by 28 U.S.C. § 2201. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard states that this paragraph contains only legal conclusions to which no response is required.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4, Ms. Heard does not dispute that venue may be proper in the Central District of California and that she has resided in California. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 5. Answering paragraph 5, Ms. Heard admits that New York Marine Policy number GL201800012500 (the "Policy") was delivered in this District with a mailing address in this District and that the "Named Insured" listed in the Policy is "Under the Black Sky, Inc. As Per Named Insured Extension Schedule." Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.

## THE PARTIES

- 6. Answering paragraph 6, Ms. Heard lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph and therefore denies them.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7, Ms. Heard admits that she is a natural person and was domiciled in California at the time of filing. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### The Insurance Policy

- 8. Answering paragraph 8, Ms. Heard admits that New York Marine issued the Policy, the "Named Insured" is "Under the Black Sky, Inc. As Per Named Insured Extension Schedule," which Schedule includes Ms. Heard as a "Named Insured," the Policy has a policy period of July 18, 2018, to July 18, 2019, and the Policy lists an "Each Occurrence Limit \$1,000,000," a "Personal & Advertising Limit \$1,000,000 Any one person or organization," and a "Personal Liability" limit of "\$1,000,000 Each Occurrence." Ms. Heard further admits that Exhibit A to the FAC appears to be a true and correct copy of at least a substantial part, if not all, of the Policy, with certain information redacted. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 9. Answering paragraph 9, Ms. Heard admits that the Policy contains a coverage part entitled "Comprehensive Personal Liability Coverage" and that this coverage part includes the quoted language. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 10. Answering paragraph 10, Ms. Heard admits that the definition of "Personal Injury" in the Policy's "Comprehensive Personal Liability Coverage" contains the quoted language. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.

## The Underlying Lawsuit

- 11. Answering paragraph 11, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

- 13. Answering paragraph 13, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 14. Answering paragraph 14, Ms. Heard admits that by letter dated October 1, 2019, New York Marine purported to accept her defense of *Depp v. Heard* ("the *Depp* lawsuit") and reserve certain rights and that this letter contains the quoted language. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16, Ms. Heard admits that on or about November 2, 2020, Cameron McEvoy withdrew as one of the counsel representing Ms. Heard in the *Depp* lawsuit. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 17. Answering paragraph 17, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 18. Answering paragraph 18, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 19. Answering paragraph 19, Ms. Heard admits that the Jury Instructions are the best evidence of their contents and that the July Instructions include the quoted language. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 20. Answering paragraph 20, Ms. Heard admits that the Jury Instructions are the best evidence of their contents and that the July Instructions include the quoted language. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 21. Answering paragraph 21, Ms. Heard admits that the "Finding Instruction" in the *Depp* lawsuit is the best evidence of its contents and

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

- 22. Answering paragraph 22, Ms. Heard admits that the court in the *Depp* lawsuit issued additional "Finding Instructions" and that these "Finding Instructions" are the best evidence of their contents. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 23. Answering paragraph 23, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 24. Answering paragraph 24, Ms. Heard admits that the Special Verdict Form in the *Depp* lawsuit is the best evidence of its contents and that the jury answered "yes" to questions 1(a), 2(a), and 3(a) in the Special Verdict Form. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 25. Answering paragraph 25, Ms. Heard admits that the Special Verdict Form in the *Depp* lawsuit is the best evidence of its contents and that the jury answered "yes" to questions 1(b), 2(b), and 3(b) in the Special Verdict Form. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph..
- 26. Answering paragraph 26, Ms. Heard admits the allegations in this paragraph.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Declaratory Relief as to Plaintiff's Duty to Indemnify Heard for the Judgment Order Under the Policy)

- 27. Answering paragraph 27, Ms. Heard incorporates by reference her answers to paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 28. Answering paragraph 28, Ms. Heard admits that California Insurance Code section 533 contains the quoted language and that the

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

remainder of this paragraph contains only legal contentions to which no response is required.

- 29. Answering paragraph 29, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 30. Answering paragraph 30, Ms. Heard admits that there is a dispute between New York Marine and her regarding New York Marine's duties under the Policy, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and the law, that New York Marine contends that it has no duty to indemnify her as to the June 24, 2022, Judgment Order, and that she disputes New York Marine's contentions, contending that New York Marine is obligated to perform all its duties and that New York Marine must indemnify her at least to its Policy limit for any judgment, settlement, or award against her in the *Depp* lawsuit. Ms. Heard also contends that because there has not yet been any final resolution of the *Depp* lawsuit, there is no present justiciable controversy and New York Marine's claims are not ripe. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 31. Answering paragraph 31, Ms. Heard denies that there is an actual and present controversy regarding New York Marine's duty to indemnify Ms. Heard for any judgment, settlement, or other award against her in the *Depp* lawsuit because there has not yet been any final resolution of the *Depp* lawsuit. Ms. Heard admits that New York Marine requests a judicial declaration as stated in this paragraph and that New York Marine contends that it has no obligation to indemnify Ms. Heard for the Judgment Order. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Declaratory Relief as to Plaintiff's Duty to Indemnify Heard for Any Judgment in the Underlying Action)

- 32. Answering paragraph 32, Ms. Heard incorporates by reference her answers to paragraphs 1 through 31.
- 33. Answering paragraph 33, Ms. Heard admits that California Insurance Code section 533 contains the quoted language and that the remainder of this paragraph contains only legal contentions to which no response is required.
- 34. Answering paragraph 34, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 35. Answering paragraph 35, Ms. Heard admits that there is a dispute between New York Marine and her regarding New York Marine's duties under the Policy, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and the law, that New York Marine contends that it has no duty to indemnify her for the *Depp* lawsuit, and that she disputes New York Marine's contentions, contending that New York Marine is obligated to perform all its duties and that New York Marine must indemnify her at least to its Policy limit for any judgment, settlement, or award against her in the *Depp* lawsuit. Ms. Heard also contends that because there has not yet been a final resolution of the *Depp* lawsuit, there is no present justiciable controversy and New York Marine's claims are not ripe. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 36. Answering paragraph 36, Ms. Heard denies that there is an actual and present controversy regarding New York Marine's duty to indemnify Ms. Heard for any judgment, settlement, or other award against her in the *Depp* lawsuit because there has not yet been a final

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

resolution of the *Depp* lawsuit. Ms. Heard admits that New York Marine requests a judicial declaration as stated in this paragraph and that New York Marine contends that it has no obligation to indemnify Ms. Heard for any liability regarding the *Depp* lawsuit. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief as to Plaintiff's Duty to Defend Heard in the Underlying Action The [sic] Policy [California Insurance Code § 533])

- 37. Answering paragraph 37, Ms. Heard incorporates by reference her answers to paragraphs 1 through 36.
- 38. Answering paragraph 38, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 39. Answering paragraph 39, Ms. Heard admits that there is an actual controversy between New York Marine and her regarding New York Marine's duties under the Policy, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and the law, that New York Marine contends that it has no duty to defend her in the *Depp* lawsuit based on California Insurance Code section 533, and that she disputes New York Marine's contentions, contending that New York Marine is obligated to perform all its duties and that New York Marine had, and continues to have, a duty to fully defend her in the *Depp* lawsuit through its final resolution.
- 40. Answering paragraph 40, Ms. Heard admits that there is an actual and present controversy as described in her answer to paragraph 39 above, that New York Marine seeks a judicial declaration as it states in this paragraph, and that New York Marine contends that it has "no obligation to defend [her] on an ongoing basis" for the *Depp* lawsuit.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

**10** 

11

12

13

14

**15** 

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Declaratory Relief as to Plaintiff's Duty to Defend and Indemnify Heard in the Underlying Action Under the Policy [Conditions])

- 41. Answering paragraph 41, Ms. Heard incorporates by reference her answers to paragraphs 1 through 40.
- 42. Answering paragraph 42, Ms. Heard admits that the Policy's "Comprehensive Personal Liability Coverage" is the best evidence of its contents and that this coverage part includes the quoted language. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 43. Answering paragraph 43, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- Answering paragraph 44, Ms. Heard admits that there is an actual controversy between New York Marine and her regarding New York Marine's duties under the Policy, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and the law regarding New York Marine's duty to defend her in the Depp lawsuit, that New York Marine contends that it has no duty to defend and/or indemnify her in the *Depp* lawsuit because of Ms. Heard's alleged failure to comply with the conditions of the Policy, and that she disputes New York Marine's contentions, contending that New York Marine is obligated to perform all its duties and that New York Marine had, and continues to have, a duty to fully defend her in the Depp lawsuit through its final resolution and a duty to indemnify her at least to its Policy limit for any judgment, settlement, or award against her in the *Depp* lawsuit. Ms. Heard also contends that because there has

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

not yet been a final resolution of the *Depp* lawsuit, there is no present justiciable controversy regarding New York Marine's duty to indemnify her and New York Marine's claims in this regard are not ripe. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.

45. Answering paragraph 45, Ms. Heard admits that there is an actual and present controversy to the extent described in her answer to paragraph 44 above, that New York Marine seeks a judicial declaration as it states in this paragraph, and that New York Marine contends that it has "no obligation to defend [her] on an ongoing basis" in the *Depp* lawsuit or to indemnify her. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Heard denies the allegations in this paragraph.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

46. Answering New York Marine's Prayer for Relief, Ms. Heard denies that New York Marine is entitled to the relief it requests or to any relief in this lawsuit and asks the Court to deny all the relief requested by New York Marine.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## First Affirmative Defense

## (Failure to State a Cause of Action)

47. New York Marine is barred from maintaining its First Amended Complaint and each cause of action therein because they fail to state facts sufficient to constitute claims against Ms. Heard.

## Second Affirmative Defense

## (No Subject Matter Jurisdiction)

48. New York Marine's first cause of action is not ripe and not justiciable insofar as it is contingent on the entry of final judgment in, or other final resolution of, the *Depp* lawsuit.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

**10** 

11

**12** 

13

14

**15** 

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

**25** 

26

27

28

## Third Affirmative Defense

## (No Substantial Prejudice)

49. New York Marine cannot maintain its fourth cause of action because it cannot establish that it was actually and substantially prejudiced by any unexcused alleged failure of Ms. Heard to satisfy any condition in the Policy.

## Fourth Affirmative Defense

## (Unclean Hands)

50. New York Marine is barred from maintaining its First Amended Complaint and each cause of action therein because of its unclean hands with respect to the Policy, the events upon which its causes of action are based, and its conduct.

## Fifth Affirmative Defense

## (Waiver)

51. By its conduct, representations, and omissions, New York Marine has waived, relinquished, and/or abandoned any claim for relief against Ms. Heard respecting the matters that are the subject of the First Amended Complaint.

## Sixth Affirmative Defense

## (Estoppel)

52. By its conduct, representations and omissions, New York Marine is equitably estopped to assert any claim for relief against Ms. Heard respecting the matters that are the subject of the First Amended Complaint.

## Seventh Affirmative Defense

## (Breach of Contract)

53. New York Marine's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because New York Marine has breached its obligations under the Policy.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## Eighth Affirmative Defense (Bad Faith)

54. New York Marine is barred from any recovery against Ms. Heard by reason of its tortious breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and its bad-faith unreasonable conduct.

## Ninth Affirmative Defense (Stay)

55. To the extent that the issues or facts involved in this action overlap with or could implicate issues involved in the *Depp* lawsuit, this action should be stayed pursuant to *Montrose Chemical Corp. v. Superior Court*, 6 Cal. 4th 287 (1993), and its progeny.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ms. Heard prays for a judgment:

- 1. Declaring that New York Marine is not entitled to the relief it seeks in its First Amended Complaint or to any relief;
- 2. Dismissing the First Amended Complaint and all causes of action therein with prejudice;
- 3. Awarding Ms. Heard the attorneys' fees that she reasonably incurred, and continues to incur, in her efforts to obtain the benefits due under the Policy that New York Marine wrongfully withheld, and is withholding, in bad faith;
  - 4. Awarding Ms. Heard the costs of suit incurred; and
- 5. Granting such other relief as the Court may deem equitable, just, and/or proper.

## JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant Amber Heard hereby demands a trial by jury.

DATED: November 21, 2022 PASICH LLP

By: <u>/s/Kirk Pasich</u>

Kirk Pasich

Attorneys for Amber Heard

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

### **COUNTERCLAIM BY AMBER HEARD**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 13, Counter-Claimant Amber Heard hereby counter-claims against plaintiff and counterdefendant New York Marine and General Insurance Company ("New York Marine") and alleges and follows.

## NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. New York Marine sold Ms. Heard an insurance policy in which it promised to defend and indemnify Ms. Heard against, among other things, lawsuits alleging that she defamed others. When Ms. Heard was sued by Johnny Depp for defamation, she timely notified New York Marine and asked New York Marine to defend here. While New York Marine promised to do so, it did not provide the full and capable defense to which Ms. Heard was entitled, it failed to pay the attorneys' fees and costs that it was obligated to pay for Ms. Heard's defense, and it acted in other ways that prejudiced Ms. Heard and her defense.
- 2. In acting in this manner and as described in greater detail below, New York Marine breached its contractual duties, tortiously violated the insurance policy's implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and repudiated the duties that it still owes to Ms. Heard while she pursues her appeal in the lawsuit brought by Mr. Depp. New York Marine's conduct and its coverage positions are contrary to the terms of its insurance policy, the law, the facts, and insurance industry custom and practice. Ms. Heard is entitled to recover damages to compensate her for the injuries that New York Marine has inflicted and punitive damages because of New York Marine's tortious bad faith.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this Counterclaim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because each claim is so related to claims within the

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Court's original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, including delivery of the policy at issue.

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Ms. Heard is an actor. She has appeared in such films as *Aquaman* and *Justice League*.
- 6. Ms. Heard is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that New York Marine is a corporation organized and incorporated under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in New York.
- 7. Ms. Heard is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that New York Marine is a sophisticated national insurance company that holds itself out as offering excellent customer service and an experienced claims-handling team. Ms. Heard also is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that New York Marine is part of the Coaction Specialty Insurance Group ("Coaction") and that Coaction advertises and makes public statements, including on its website, on behalf of New York Marine and its other member companies, including New York Marine.<sup>1</sup>
- 8. Ms. Heard is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Coaction uses its website to market its insurance products; represent the nature of its insurance products, its policy underwriting, and its claims handling; and represents the quality of insurance and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Coaction website is: <a href="https://www.coactionspecialty.com/get-to-know-us/">https://www.coactionspecialty.com/get-to-know-us/</a>.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

**12** 

13

14

**15** 

**16** 

**17** 

18

19

20

**21** 

**22** 

23

24

**25** 

26

**27** 

- 9. Coaction represents to the public and to its customers its "Coaction Values," which it says are its "intrinsic beliefs and are important in and of themselves." Among other things, Coaction states as follows on its website:
  - "Today, Coaction is a full specialty underwriter across five major product verticals: property, casualty, entertainment, executive liability, and multi-line."3
  - "Coaction's authentic, collaborative, and results-oriented culture is reflected in the focused approach the company takes to fulfilling the needs of each individual customer by combining product, analytics, and underwriting capabilities with strong industry knowledge and partnerships to develop real-world solutions."4
  - "Customer focused We serve the customer whether they be the broker, policyholder, or another internal or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id*.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

**12** 

13

**14** 

**15** 

**16** 

**17** 

18

19

20

**21** 

**22** 

23

24

**25** 

**27** 

28

- external customer it is fundamental to everything we do and is a core focus of our decision-making."<sup>5</sup>
- "Integrity and respect We consistently display honesty and consideration for the feelings, wishes, right and traditions of others across our undertakings even and especially when no one is watching."
- "Accountability We accept responsibility for our own actions and are willing to be judged based on performance it requires a willingness to be transparent."
- 10. Coaction also represents to the public and to its customers that it has special expertise in providing insurance to companies and individuals in the entertainment industry. Among other things, Coaction states as follows on its website:
  - "We are EXPERTS. . . . Coaction is more than an insurance company. We're a specialty company."8
  - "Coaction has been writing Entertainment business for over a decade with a team of experts located in Southern California, New York, and New Jersey who have dedicated their entire careers (over 300 years of collective experience) to these customers."9
  - "Target Customers . . . Artists and Entertainers." 10
  - 11. Coaction also states in its "Entertainment Fact Sheet Final":
    - "At Coaction, we strive to provide an exceptional claims handling experience to our clients. Our average claim

**26**  $\parallel$  <sup>6</sup> *Id*.

<sup>10</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

 $<sup>\</sup>parallel^7 Ia$ 

<sup>8</sup> https://www.coactionspecialty.com/

https://www.coactionspecialty.com/entertainment/.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

adjuster has over 15 years of experience and are strategically aligned with our underwriting vertical to provide our insured and claimants a specialized claims encounter," including "Expert claims team known for its quality, commitment and integrity."

- "Policies are underwritten by the insurers of Coaction Specialty Insurance Group, which includes New York Marine and General Insurance Company . . . . "12
- Coaction has "\$1.1B Estimated 2022 Premium" and "\$3.2B Total Assets." <sup>13</sup>

#### THE POLICY

- 12. New York Marine sold insurance policy number GL201800012500 to Ms. Heard for the July 18, 2018, to July 18, 2019, policy period (the "Policy"). The "Named Insured" is "Under the Black Sky, Inc. As Per Named Insured Extension Schedule," which Schedule includes Ms. Heard as a "Named Insured."
- 13. The Policy contains multiple coverage parts, each of which provides several separate coverages to Ms. Heard. The Commercial Liability General Liability Coverage Form provides \$1,000,000 in coverage "Any one person" for Personal and Advertising Injury. The Comprehensive Personal Liability Coverage separately provides \$1,000,000 in coverage "each occurrence" for Personal Liability.
- 14. The Policy's Commercial General Liability Coverage Form's "Coverage B personal and Advertising Liability" obligated New York Marine to "pay those sums that [Ms. Heard] becomes legally to pay as

 $28 \parallel$ 

<sup>11</sup> *Id*. <sup>12</sup> *Id*.

<sup>13</sup> *Id*.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

- damages because of 'personal and advertising injury' . . . ." Commercial General Liability Coverage Form, Coverage B,  $\P$  1.a. It also obligated New York Marine "to defend [Ms. Heard] against any 'suit' seeking damages for 'personal and advertising injury' . . . ." Id. The Policy defines "personal and advertising injury" to mean "injury, including consequential 'bodily injury,' arising out of one of more of the following offenses: . . . Oral or written publication, in any manner, of material that slanders or libels a person . . . ." Id., Commercial General Liability Coverage Form § V.14.
- obligated New York Marine to "pay up to [its] limit of liability for the damages for which [Ms. Heard] is legally liable" "[i]f a claim or a suit is brought against [Ms. Heard] because of . . . 'personal injury" caused by an 'occurrence' . . . ." *Id.*, Comprehensive Personal Liability Coverage, Coverage L. The Comprehensive Personal Liability Coverage also obligated New York Marine to "provide a defense at [its] expense by counsel of [its] choice, even if the suit is groundless, false or fraudulent." *Id.* "Personal injury" is defined to include "injury . . . arising out of one or more of the following offenses: . . . oral or written publication of material that slanders or libels a person or organization including other forms of defamation; or . . . oral or written publication of material including other forms of defamation that violates a person's right of privacy."
- 16. To the extent not waived or otherwise excused, Ms. Heard has complied with all terms and conditions contained in the Policy.

  Therefore, Ms. Heard is entitled to all benefits of the Policy.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

#### THE DEPP LAWSUIT

- 17. In March 2019, Ms. Heard's ex-husband, Johnny Depp, filed a lawsuit against Ms. Heard in Virginia state court (the "*Depp* lawsuit). Mr. Depp alleged in his lawsuit that Ms. Heard defamed him in a December 2018 *Washington Post* Op-Ed.
- 18. In June 2022, the jury in the *Depp* lawsuit returned a verdict against Ms. Heard for three counts of defamation. Ms. Heard has appealed the judgment to the Virginia Court of Appeals. The appeal is currently pending.

### NEW YORK MARINE'S BREACHES AND BAD-FAITH CONDUCT

- 19. Ms. Heard timely notified New York Marine of the *Depp* lawsuit. New York Marine initially agreed to defend Ms. Heard subject to a reservation of rights. Unfortunately for Ms. Heard, New York Marine's performance never lived up to its promises. Indeed, New York Marine never fully paid for Ms. Heard's defense, leaving Ms. Heard to incur hundreds of thousands of dollars in defense costs not paid by any insurer, withdrew from Ms. Heard's defense, expressly repudiated its duties to defend or indemnify Ms. Heard, and has consistently placed its interests above those of Ms. Heard.
- 20. Specifically, in October 2019, New York Marine reserved rights to deny coverage on the ground that Ms. Heard behaved intentionally, thus creating a conflict of interest with Ms. Heard and giving Ms. Heard the right to independent counsel, with New York Marine being obligated to pay for the fees and costs of this independent counsel. Nonetheless, New York Marine refused to agree to defend Ms. Heard through independent counsel and instead appointed its own counsel. Despite requests from Ms. Heard to reconsider, New York Marine persisted in its position, making it impossible for Ms. Heard to

fully accept this "defense" provided by New York Marine without prejudicing her defense in the *Depp* lawsuit. New York Marine's appointed counsel ultimately withdrew on November 20, 2020.

- 21. Ms. Heard is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that New York Marine thereafter agreed to participate in the defense of Ms. Heard by reimbursing Ms. Heard's defending insurer, Travelers Commercial Insurance Company, for some of the amounts it had paid, and was paying towards Ms. Heard's defense in the *Depp* lawsuit but has never done so. Thus, at no point has New York Marine fully honored its duty to defend Ms. Heard in the *Depp* lawsuit.
- 22. Once judgment was entered in the *Depp* lawsuit in accord with the jury's verdict, New York Marine took the position that it need not defend or indemnify Ms. Heard, even though that judgment is not final, has been appealed, and may be reversed on appeal. Ms. Heard is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that New York Marine took this position to benefit its own economic interests at the expense of Ms. Heard's interest and even though it knew, or should have known, that the bases for its position are contrary to the law and do not excuse New York Marine from its duty to defend Ms. Heard, including its duty to pursue and fund an appeal on her behalf in the *Depp* lawsuit.
- 23. By refusing to provide the defense to which Ms. Heard was, and is, entitled in the *Depp* lawsuit and by wrongfully asserting that Ms. Heard is not entitled to indemnity for any amount that Ms. Heard might be legally obligated to pay in any judgment in or settlement of the *Depp* lawsuit, New York Marine has wrongfully repudiated and refused to perform its duties to Ms. Heard. In so acting, New York Marine has deprived Ms. Heard from receiving the full benefits that she was promised and to which she is entitled under the Policy.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

#### FIRST COUNT

## (Breach of Contract)

- 24. Ms. Heard realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 above.
- 25. New York Marine had and has a duty under the Policy, the law, and insurance industry custom and practice to fully defend Ms. Heard against the *Depp* lawsuit. New York Marine's duty arose at least at the time it received notice of the *Depp* lawsuit and continues until the *Depp* lawsuit is finally resolved, including through all appeals therein.
- 26. New York Marine also had and has a duty under the Policy, the law, and insurance industry custom and practice to promptly conduct a full and thorough investigation, including as to all bases that might support Ms. Heard's claims for coverage. New York Marine also had and has a duty to give at least as much consideration to Ms. Heard's interests as it gives to its own interests.
  - 27. New York Marine breached its duties by, among other things,
    - a. refusing to fully and properly defend Ms. Heard in the *Depp* lawsuit;
    - b. repudiating its duty to indemnify Ms. Heard for any amounts that Ms. Heard may be legally obligated to pay in judgment in, or settlement of, the *Depp* lawsuit;
    - c. otherwise refusing to perform its duties under the Policy; and
    - d. acting as alleged above.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of New York Marine's contractual breaches, Ms. Heard has sustained and continues to sustain damages in an amount to be proven at trial and currently exceeding \$75,000.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

#### SECOND COUNT

# (Tortious Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 29. Ms. Heard realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 and 25 through 27 above.
- 30. Implied in the Policy is a covenant that New York Marine would act in good faith and deal fairly with Ms. Heard, would do nothing to interfere with Ms. Heard's right to receive benefits due under the Policy, and would give at least the same level of consideration to Ms. Heard's interests as it gives to its own interests. New York Marine also had a duty under the Policy, the law, and insurance industry custom, practice, and standards to conduct a prompt and thorough investigation, including as to all bases that might support Ms. Heard's claim for coverage, before asserting coverage defenses or denying coverage.
- 31. Instead of complying with these duties, New York Marine acted in bad faith by, among other things,
  - a. refusing to fully and properly defend Ms. Heard in the *Depp* lawsuit and then repudiating its duty to defend;
  - b. refusing to indemnify Ms. Heard to its Policy limit as to any judgment in, or settlement of, the *Depp* lawsuit
  - c. failing to fully inquire into possible bases that might support coverage for the *Depp* lawsuit;
  - d. asserting grounds for limiting coverage that it knows are not supported by, and in fact are contrary to, the terms of the Policy, the law, insurance industry custom and practices, and the facts;
  - e. taking coverage positions that are contrary to Ms. Heard's reasonable expectations of coverage;

h. otherwise acting as alleged above.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

- f. giving greater consideration to its own interests than it gave Ms. Heard's interests;
- g. based on information and belief, failing to have appropriate claims handling guidelines and failing to consider and act in accord with the governing legal requirements; and
- 32. In breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, New York Marine did the things and committed the acts alleged above for the purpose of consciously withholding from Ms. Heard the rights and benefits to which she is and was entitled under the Policy and the law.
- 33. New York Marine's acts are inconsistent with the reasonable expectations of Ms. Heard, are contrary to established insurance industry custom and practice, are contrary to legal requirements, are contrary to the express terms of the Policy, and constitute bad faith.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of New York Marine's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Ms. Heard has sustained and continues to sustain damages in an amount to be proven at trial. Also, pursuant to *Brandt v. Superior Court*, 37 Cal. 3d 813 (1985), Ms. Heard is entitled to recover all attorneys' fees that she has reasonably incurred, and continues to incur, in her efforts to obtain the benefits due under the Policy that New York Marine wrongfully has withheld, and is withholding, in bad faith. Ms. Heard is also entitled to interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 35. Ms. Heard is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that New York Marine—acting through one or more of its officers, directors, or other corporate employees with substantial independent and discretionary authority over significant aspects of New York Marine's

business—performed, authorized, and/or ratified the bad-faith conduct alleged above.

36. New York Marine's conduct is despicable and has been done with a conscious disregard of Ms. Heard's rights, constituting oppression, fraud, and/or malice. New York Marine has engaged in a series of acts designed to deny Ms. Heard the benefits due under the Policy.

Specifically, New York Marine, by acting as alleged above, in light of information, facts, and relevant law to the contrary, consciously disregarded Ms. Heard's rights and forced Ms. Heard to incur substantial financial losses, thereby inflicting substantial financial damage on Ms. Heard. New York Marine ignored Ms. Heard's interests and concerns with the requisite intent to injure within the meaning of California Civil Code section 3294. Therefore, Ms. Heard is entitled to recover punitive damages from New York Marine in an amount sufficient to punish and to make an example of New York Marine and to deter similar conduct in the future.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ms. Heard prays for relief as follows:

## ON THE FIRST COUNT

1. For damages according to proof at the time of trial, plus interest;

## ON THE SECOND COUNT

- 2. For damages according to proof at the time of trial, including reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in obtaining the benefits due under the Policy, plus interest;
- 3. For punitive damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;

## **ON BOTH COUNTS**

4. For costs of suit herein; and

5. For such other, further, and/or different relief as may be deemed just and proper.

DATED: November 21, 2022 PASICH LLP

By: <u>/s/ Kirk Pasich</u>

Kirk Pasich

Attorneys for Amber Heard

13
<b>14</b>
<b>15</b>
<b>16</b>
<b>17</b>
18
19
20
21

## **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Defendant and Counter-Claimant Amber Heard hereby demands a trial by jury on her counterclaims.

DATED: November 21, 2022 PASICH LLP

By: /s/ Kirk Pasich

Kirk Pasich

Attorneys for Amber Heard

2	4
ด	E

\_\_\_